



138

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3315-2025

Date of decision :03.04.2025

Ramandeep Kaur and another**.....Petitioners**

versus

State of Punjab and others**.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Nimish Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for directing respondents No.2 & 3 to take action on the representation dated 01.04.2025 for protecting the life and personal liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5 and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing the respondents No.2 & 3 not to allow/let private respondents or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioner to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 & 5. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 01.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) before respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar.

**CRWP-3315-2025****-2-**

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, to decide the representation dated 01.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

03.04.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No