



146

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19558-2025

Date of decision : 09.04.2025

Shanker Lal Goel and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Jasdeep Singh Toor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed seeking quashing of the illegal notice dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P/1) issued to the petitioners by Respondent No. 5, arbitrarily without any relevancy and in violation to the known procedure of law solely with the ulterior motive to harass the Petitioners on the pretext of investigation in FIR No. 525 of 2019 registered u/s 341, 392, 406, 420, 506 of IPC read with Sections 25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act 1959 at Police Station Sadar Karnal, District Karnal, despite the fact that: (a) the Petitioners have not been arrayed as an accused in the FIR; (b) the investigation in the FIR was concluded in 2020 and the Final Report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was submitted by the Investigating Agency before the Id. Trial Court against the accused named in the FIR (c) Petitioners were never called by any court or the investigation agency since 2020; (d) a report was filed by Respondent No.3 before the Haryana Human Rights Commission that the complainant (in FIR 525 of 2019) [Respondent No. 6] does not wish to take any action

**CRM-M-19558-2025****-2-**

against the petitioners, and on this statement, the Haryana Human Rights Commission passed an order dated 12.03.2020 (Annexure P-12). Further prayer has been made for directing Respondents No. 1 to 5 to take on record; (a) Petitioner's responses as well as documents submitted to Respondent No. 5 in relation to the investigation initiated under said FIR (b) Order dated 20.03.2020 (Annexure P/12) passed by the Haryana State Human Rights Commission in respect of the matter being investigated in the said FIR; and consequently cease from harassing the Petitioners under the guise of conducting investigation/inquiry in the FIR. Further seeking directions to be issued to Respondents No. 1 to 4 to restrain Respondent No. 5 from harassing the Petitioners and ensure that no coercive action is taken against the petitioners herein and further to inquire into the matter and take appropriate legal action against erring officials and respondent No.5.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that neither the petitioners were named in the FIR nor they were named in the Challan. He submits that the FIR was registered in 2019 and, however, now they have been issued impugned notice dated 04.03.2025. He submits that the petitioners were called time and again and they are being unnecessarily harassed. He thus, submits that impugned notice deserves to be quashed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State assisted by SI Shri Bhagwan, who is also present in the Court. The Court has interacted

**CRM-M-19558-2025****-3-**

with SI Shri Bhagwan, who has submitted before this Court that the dispute between the parties is already resolved by way of compromise. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that the petitioners have already appeared before the Police Station on 08.03.2025, in pursuance to the notice dated 04.03.2025. He submits that the petitioners are no more required for any investigation in the present case and there is no other complaint pending against them.

5. In view of the submissions made before this Court by the learned State counsel, on instructions from SI who is present in Court, there is no complaint or FIR is pending against the petitioners and they are not required for any investigation, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

09.04.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No