



CWP-9561-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

CWP-9561-2025

Date of Decision: 07.04.2025

Paramvir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Sukhvir Singh Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Raman Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Rajvir Singh Sihag, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of communication dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) whereby they are compelled to participate in Online Transfer Policy (for short 'OTP').

2. Mr. Sukhvir Singh Sahu, Advocate *inter alia* contends that petitioners were promoted after 30.11.2023 and posted at a place different from their original place of posting. Despite said fact, respondent by impugned communication is forcing petitioners to participate in the OTP Drive. As per transfer policy, the petitioners cannot be forced to participate in OTP drive till the expiry of five years.

3. Mr. Rajvir Singh Sihag, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, files his Memorandum of Appearance on behalf of



respondent Nos.2 and 3. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place. He submits that grievance of petitioners is under consideration and till the adjudication of their representation, they would not be forced to participate in OTP drive.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.04.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No