

269

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18731-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 26.05.2025

VISHAL KUMAR

Versus

....Petitioner(s)

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Rajveer Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Reply dated 20.05.2025 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Faridkot, District Faridkot, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
199	19.05.2024	323, 379, 427, 148, 149 and 506 IPC; (325 IPC added later on)	City Faridkot, District Faridkot

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in



compliance to the order dated 24.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from ASI Gurjit Singh has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

5. Heard.

6. During the course of hearing on 24.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injuries are simple in nature and moreso the complainant-victim has also executed an affidavit dated 29.05.2024, which is annexed as Annexure P-2. However, he could not demonstrate as to why the said affidavit was not brought to the notice of learned Sessions Judge, Faridkot while seeking concession of anticipatory bail. He submits that said affidavit was executed when the anticipatory bail was filed by the accused-petitioner before the learned Sessions Court but the same has not been considered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and prays for time to seek necessary instructions and to file reply.

In light of the above and subject to verification by the State to the veracity of said affidavit, the petitioner is directed to join investigation on 02.05.2025 at 10:00 A.M. before the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency and even thereafter.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer and the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under relevant Section of BNSS, 2023.

Adjourned to 21.05.2025.

The petitioner is directed to place on record bail application filed before the learned Court below before the next



date of hearing and in case it is found that the same was not brought to the notice of learned Court below, strict action shall be initiated against the petitioner.”

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 24.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 24.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

26.05.2025
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No