



113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-236-2025**Date of Decision:04.04.2025****M/S T K ASSOCIATES**

..... Petitioner

Versus

**GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY AMRITSAR THROUGH ITS
VICE CHANCELLOR AND ORS.**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11(6) of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 19.01.2023 (Annexure P-1) of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act will expire on 05.04.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of Arbitrator. Matter is at the stage of arguments.



4. Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the respondents who on advance notice is present on behalf of the respondents, does not dispute the afore-stated factual and legal position as well prayer.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of one month from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.04.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No