



237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18732-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 21.04.2025

Ravi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana assisted by
Assistant Sub Inspector Vikram Singh.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.457 dated 17.11.2023, under Sections 302, 323, 341 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Custody Certificate dated 19.04.2025 of the petitioner has been produced. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the opposite side. Registry to do the needful.

3. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused had assaulted Bunty - son of *de facto* complainant and as a result thereof, he succumbed to the injuries caused by the accused.



4. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2023; after investigation final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') was presented on 11.03.2024; charges were framed on 29.04.2024; all the prosecution witnesses have been examined; statement of petitioner under Section 313 Cr.P.C. has been recorded and case is now fixed for defence evidence. Specifically contends that neither *de facto* complainant Kaka Ram; nor his another son - Shunty is supporting the charges levelled against the petitioner. Lastly contended that no other criminal case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, duly acknowledged the above factual position, but he opposed the prayer on the ground that allegations are very serious in nature.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. It transpires that petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2023; after investigation final report was presented on 11.03.2024; charges were framed on 29.04.2024, all the prosecution witnesses have been examined; statement of petitioner under Section 313 Cr.P.C. has been recorded and case is now fixed for defence evidence. Apart that, neither *de facto* complainant Kaka Ram; nor his another son - Shunty is supporting the charges levelled against the petitioner and there is no other criminal case pending against him. Thus, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of



learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

21.04.2025
d.gulati

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No