



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-PIL-80-2024 (O&M)DATE OF DECISION : 27th JANUARY, 2025

Jairoop Riar and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

* * *

Present : Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanvir Singh Kathuria, Senior Government
Counsel for Union of India – respondent No.1.

Mr. Sumeet Jain, Additional Standing
Counsel for U.T.Chandigarh.

* * *

SHEEL NAGU, CJ. (Oral)

1. The petitioners by way of this Public Interest Litigation prays for various reliefs based on the principal grievance that animals of different types are being treated with cruelty which is in violation of the provisions of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 (in short the 'Act') and the Rules framed thereunder.

2. It is not disputed at the Bar that there is Animal Welfare Board of India constituted under Section 5 of the Act and the Rules framed, which can very well be approached by the petitioners for redressal of their grievance raised herein, especially, in regard to use of a drug, namely, oxytocin for increasing milk yield of cow/cattle.



3. The petitioners have already moved various complaints/representations dated 11.04.2023 (Annexure P-6), 28.03.2023 (Annexure P-7) and 28.04.2023 (Annexure P-8) before the respondent-authorities, but to no avail.
4. However, the petitioners are directed to file fresh representation(s) alongwith copy of this petition as well as annexures before the Animal Welfare Board of India, Municipal Corporation of Chandigarh and Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Chandigarh, which shall look into the grievance of the petitioners and after giving due and sufficient opportunity to the petitioners shall decide the same by passing a speaking order and communicating the same to the petitioners within an outer limit of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
5. In case the grievance of the petitioners remains unaddressed, they shall be at liberty to re-visit the Court.
6. The petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

27th January, 2025
‘gian’

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No