

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:045423-DB



130

**CWP-9431-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2025.**

Rajender Kumar Mehta and another
Versus

...Petitioners.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Dakoria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate
for the respondent-State.

SUDHIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petition herein is for issuance of a Writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to ensure the compliance/enforcement of all the terms and conditions of Memo No.LC-991-JE(SK)-2023-659 dated 06.01.2023 (Annexure P1) and to withdraw the aforesaid memo with immediate effect and forfeit and administrative charges deposited by the developer and further prayed for passing an appropriate order or direction restraining respondent No.4 not to continue any kind of construction/ development work/ activities on the aforesaid project site falling under licence No.229 of 2007 and not to make any sale/ alienate or create any kind of third party rights, title or interest without discharging its obligations/ liabilities imposed by respondent No.2.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the

petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the pending representation (Annexure P-14) is decided by respondent No.2, which has not been adverted to by the respondents, till date.

3. On the other hand, on advance service of copy of petition, learned State counsel appears and opposes issuance of notice of motion. He submits that grievance of the petitioners will be looked into by respondent No.2 and it shall take decision either way, on pending representation (Annexure P-14) of the petitioners.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider pending representation (Annexure P-14) of the petitioners and pass an appropriate reasoned order, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of copy/ production of this order. If required, respondent No.2 shall give a personal hearing to the petitioners.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

03.04.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No