



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRM-M-18633-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 04.04.2025**

KOMALJIT KAUR

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 528 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to get a fair and independent inquiry on the complaint moved by the petitioner and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondent Nos. 5 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that apprehending threats to her life and liberty, the petitioner had moved the representation dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, Rural, but till date no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the aforesaid representation dated



28.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and submits that the aforesaid representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.

5. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, Rural to consider and dispose of the representation dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner so as to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and if needed, provide requisite protection. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

04.04.2025
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No