



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

225

RSA-2365-2001 (O & M)
Date of Decision:20.05.2025

SURJIT KAUR

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

KASHMIR SINGH AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Ritesh K. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Baath, Advocate
for the appellant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. As per office report, sole appellant as well as both the respondents have expired.
2. Counsel for the appellant does not have any instructions. It seems that no one is interested in pursuing the litigation.
3. Dismissed for default in prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the parties/their LRs to seek revival of the appeal, in case, they intend to pursue the appeal.
4. Pending application is disposed off.

20.05.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No