



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

912

CWP-19571-2005 (O & M)

Date of decision:12.05.2025

Chhaju Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing respondent No.2 to conduct the promotion on seniority-cum-merit basis strictly a per service regulations.

2. Learned State counsel refers to paras 2 and 3 of written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.2 to state that the petitioner is no longer working as the District Manager, Ropar or elsewhere since he was placed under suspension pending departmental enquiry and was absorbed in the Board, which is not a department of the Government. Further to para 7 whereof, reference is made to the meeting of the Board held on 24.03.2006 under Agenda No.12 to amend the Regulation 13 clause 18(a) of the Punjab Education Board Calendar Volume 1, 2003, and to the submissions made in the said paragraph that as a matter of long established practice prior to amendment promotions to the post of Senior

Managers were made from the two separate seniority lists of General and Diminishing Cadre according to category of the person creating the vacancy. This practice followed for long has now been given formal expression through the amendment. The posts of Sr. Managers have to be filled in the ratio of 1:1 in terms of the proviso to the Regulation. The petitioner can claim promotion only from the diminishing cadre.

3. To the aforesaid, there is no rebuttal by filing any replication/counter.

4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived by demonstrating that the *lis* still subsists.

12.05.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No