



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

140

CWP-9517-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-03.04.2025

Sandhya Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Income Tax Officer, Ward 6 (I), Chd. & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Vishav Bharti Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Sr. Standing counsel
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J.

1. Challenge in the present petition is to notice dated 15.03.2024 issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for short 'Act 1961'); assessment order dated 12.03.2025 issued under Section 147 read with Section 144 of the Act, 1961 and notice of demand and penalty show cause notice dated 12.03.2025 and consequential actions, for AY 2020-2021.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the issue involved in the present writ petition is covered by the judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the cases of *Jatinder Singh Bhangu vs. Union of India and others*, passed in CWP No. 15745-2024 and connected matter, decided on 19.07.2024 and *Jasjit Singh vs. Union of India and others* (CWP No. 21509-2023 and other connected matters), decided on 29.07.2024 .
3. Learned counsel appearing for Union of India has also not disputed the same.



4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the whole records of the case.

5. The petitioner has challenged the notice dated 15.03.2024 issued under Section 148 of the Act, 1961; assessment order dated 12.03.2025 issued under Section 147 read with Section 144 of the Act, 1961 and notice of demand and penalty show cause notice dated 12.03.2025 and consequential actions, for AY 2020-2021 on the ground that the Issuing Authority had no jurisdiction to issue the same, in view of the circular/notification dated 29.03.2022 of the CBDT, wherein, it has been specifically enumerated that the NFAC has exclusive power to issue the notice under Section 148 of the Act, 1961.

6. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra)*** and ***Jasjit Singh's case (supra)***, allowed the writ petitions on the same issue, as raised in the present writ petition, by granting liberty to the revenue to follow the procedure as laid down under the Act, 1961 and proceed accordingly, if so advised.

7. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, in terms of ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra)***, decided on 19.07.2024 and ***Jasjit Singh's case (supra)***, decided on 29.07.2024

8. All the pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

03.04.2025

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No