



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19313-2025
Date of decision :23.07.2025

DAVINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Satvir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of a cross case No.20 dated 21.11.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 1158(2), 190, 190(3), 351(1) (3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana in FIR No.88 dated 21.11.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 125, 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 07.04.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 07.01.2025 (P-2).



CRM-M-19313-2025

-2-

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 07.04.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Ludhiana and as per the report dated 29.05.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab*** 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Ludhiana accompanied by statements of both the parties, the cross case No.20 dated 21.11.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 1158(2), 190, 190(3), 351(1) (3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana in FIR No.88 dated 21.11.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 125, 191(3),



CRM-M-19313-2025

-3-

190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

23.07.2025
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No