

**CRM-M-18290-2025****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230**CRM-M-18290-2025****Date of Decision: 07.05.2025****VIKAS RAWAT****....PETITIONER**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL.**

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL, J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 349 dated 10.08.2024 under Sections 307,115(2),351(3),3(5) of BNS and Sections 238, 317(2), 309(6), 61(2) and 311 of BNS added later on registered at Police Station Zirakpur, Mohali.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with his co-accused has committed the offence by stopping the vehicle of the complainant and snatched a gold chain, gold bracelet (Karra) and cash from the complainant. Moreover, from the Gpay account of the complainant Rs. 20,000/- was transferred to the account of one Aarti. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 14.08.2024; he is not involved in any other criminal case and prays that he be released on bail. He also submits



that co-accused, namely, Deepika has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-8129-2025 on 18.02.2025.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate dated 06.05.2025, the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 22 days and he is not involved in any other case. He further submits that the charges have been framed and total 17 prosecution witnesses have been cited.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for over 08 months; he is not involved in any other criminal case, co-accused has been granted bail; since the trial is yet to commence and the same is likely to take time, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

2025:PHHC:059983



CRM-M-18290-2025

3

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

07.05.2025.
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No