



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRM-13669-2025 in/and
CRM-M-18149-2025
Date of decision: 03.04.2025

Jagdev Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Jaskirat Singh Bhogal, Advocate and
Mr. Navrajdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**CRM-13669-2025**

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-18149-2025

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 6, to decide complaints/representation dated 13.09.2024, 17.01.2025 and 29.03.2025 (Annexures P-1 to P-3), register an FIR and take appropriate legal action against the accused persons.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite complaints/representations having been made to the authorities concerned, the official respondents have been sitting over the matter and till date have not proceeded in accordance with law against the accused persons. After arguing for some time, learned counsel submits



CRM-13669-2025 in/and
CRM-M-18149-2025

-2-

that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to the official respondents, to look into complaints/representations (Annexure P-1 to P-3), decide the same in the light of ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979***, and take appropriate steps.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.4 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, is directed to look into the representation of the petitioner, decide the same at the earliest and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.4 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaints/representations (Annexure P-1 to P-3), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979***.

03.04.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No