



CWP-11022-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(255)

CWP-11022-2024

Date of Decision : 30.04.2025

Kamal through his mother

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttri Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2-UHBVN.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, a challenge is thrown to the order/letter dated 16.02.2024 (Annexure P-9), passed by the respondent distribution licensee, wherethrough, on account of the injuries suffered due to electrocution, only a sum of Rs.1,40,000/-, was awarded to the petitioner. Fetching grievance from the inadequate compensation, the instant writ petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has been filed before this Court.

2. Upon notice of the instant petition, learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2, has caused appearance and informs this Court, on instructions imparted to him by Mr. Aditya Kundra, Executive Engineer, Sub Division, UHBVN, Panipat, that in view of the Clause 12 of the policy dated 08.07.2019, as adopted by the respondent-distribution licensee, fresh calculation has been made, and in fact, the petitioner, in addition to the already



CWP-11022-2024

2

awarded amount, is found entitled for Rs.19,07,410/-, and the said amount will be disbursed to the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from today.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner though records satisfaction with regard to the calculation. However, he still agitated on account of non-calculation of interest part, as there is an inordinate delay in deciding the claim of the petitioner.

4. Be that as it may, since the main issue of inadequate compensation has already been decided, no further intervention of this Court is required, at this stage. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the respondent-distribution licensee, by filing an apt representation, with regard to the interest part.

5. In case, such a representation is filed, the latter, shall make all endeavour to decide the same, within a period of four weeks thereafter.

6. It goes without saying that in case, the petitioner is still found to be entitled for the interest amount, the same shall be released forthwith, in his favour.

7. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 30, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No