



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18636-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 04.04.2025

RAI RAVINDER VERMA ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms Ishal Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the
Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to direct the Respondents to
carry out their lawful obligation by taking an appropriate action on the
Representations dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-2 & P-3) sent by the
Petitioner to the Respondent No. 4 & 5 respectively and registering a
case against the accused persons therein as per mandate of law laid
down by the Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
*"Lalita Kumari Versus Government of U.P. and others (2014) 2 SCC
1"*;

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sandeep
Kumar submits that the representations dated 13.11.2024 (Annexures P-
2 and P-3) have been considered by the authorities wherein the dispute

involved in this case is of civil in nature and there is no criminal liability against the alleged accused persons in that complaint/representation.

He would further submit that a civil suit is also pending between the parties at competent Court at Dera Bassi.

In view of the above, the instant petition has become infructuous, hence, the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

04.04.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>