



204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**IOIN-1-CWP-14248-2006 in/&
CWP-14248-2006(O&M)
Date of decision : 06.11.2025**

Dapinder Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH
NALWA**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab for respondents
No.1 to 3.

Mr. Ajay Kamboj Gurpreet, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for respondent No.4.

None for remaining respondents.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. Fresh notices to respondents No.5, 14, 18, 32, 36, 55 and 57 were ordered to be issued, vide order dated 29.08.2025, on filing of their complete and correct addresses by learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of two weeks. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioners on 29.08.2025.

2. As per report of the Registry, notice to respondents No.5, 14, 18, 32, 36, 55 and 57 could not be issued as learned counsel for the petitioners has not furnished their complete and correct addresses. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel submits that in the present petition, selection list of Primary Teachers was challenged which was prepared



on the basis of notification dated 05.05.1975 (Annexure P-2) issued by the State of Punjab. However, the abovesaid notification was quashed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in *CWP No.15302 of 2005 titled Attar Singh Dhoor and others Vs. State of Punjab*, decided on **25.07.2006**. He further submits that now the issue has been decided by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *The State of Punjab and others Vs. Davinder Singh and others, Civil Appeal No.2317 of 2011* decided on **01.08.2024**. The notification dated 05.05.1975 (Annexure P-2) issued by the State of Punjab has been upheld. He further submits that in the light of the fact that the abovesaid notification has been upheld, the present writ petition has rendered infructuous.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to file an application for revival of the petition, in case any cause still survives.

5. IOIN also stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

06.11.2025

d.gulati

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No