



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(210)

COCN No. 1752 of 2025
Date of Decision: 29.10.2025

Rani

...Petitioner

VS

K.A.P. Sinha, IAS and others

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, A.A.G. Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of judgment dated 13.11.2024 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled as ***Re: Directions in the Matter of Demolition of Structures in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 295 of 2022 with Writ petition (Criminal) No. 162 of 2022 and Writ Petition (Civil) No. 328 of 2022.***

2. On 08.04.2025, the following order was passed in the instant contempt petition.

"Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents and prays for ten days' time to file the compliance report.

Considering the fact that the direction to consider the claim of the petitioner was passed on 13.11.2024, a period of more than 4 months has elapsed, thus, in case the compliance report is not filed in terms of the Court's order, on or before the next date, the Officer concerned to join the proceedings through video-conferencing.

Requisite copy of paper-book be supplied to the counsel opposite by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of day.

List on 08.05.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list, henceforth."

3. Reply by way of affidavit of Mr. K.A.P. Sinha, Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, filed in the Registry is taken on record. Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the compliance affidavit read as under:-

“4. That it is respectfully submitted that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, vide its Judgment dated 13.11.2024, had directed the Chief Secretaries of all the States/Union Territory to get the Judgment circulated to all the District Magistrates and Local Authorities intimating them about the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court.

5. That in compliance thereof, the Judgment dated 13.11.2024 was circulated to all the District Magistrates, Department of Local Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats and Department of Housing and Urban Development vide Memo No. GAD-MONTOC. C/16/2024-MON1 dated 12.12.2024. A copy of Letter dated 12.12.2024 is annexed as Annexure R-1.”

4. Learned State counsel submits that in view of the averments made in paragraph 4 and 5 of the compliance affidavit, the directions stand complied with and no further orders are required to be passed in the instant contempt petition.

5. Since no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner despite the case having been called twice, it appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present contempt petition.

6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

October 29, 2025
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No