



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

**CRM-M- 18151-2025(O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 27.05.2025**

Ishak Ali alias Sahil

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Petitioner in person with  
Mr. Pranshul Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.


Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana  
assisted by ASI-Manoj.

\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 450 dated 26.12.2024, under Sections 61 (2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS'), registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram.

2. Allegations are that petitioner in order to secure bail of his cousin i.e. Mohd. Alam Mansoor, produced two fake Aadhar Cards in the name of Rajeev & Mukesh and forged the same as Rinku & Shahid Hussain, respectively.



3. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 21.04.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel and on instructions from ASI-Manoj submits that his custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court on 21.04.2025 and the order reads as under:-

*“ Status report by way of affidavit dated 17.04.2025 of Naveen Sharma, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, City Gurugram on behalf of respondent, is taken on record. Copy supplied to the opposite side.*

*Registry to do the needful.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to go through the above status report.*

*Posted for 27.05.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.*

*Let petitioner as well as Investigating Officer concerned be present on the next date of hearing.”*



7. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

8. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 21.04.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

9. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

11. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**27.05.2025**  
*Harish Kumar*

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |