



**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19472-2025

Date of Decision: 08.04.2025

Rishabh Kanojia

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take necessary action on the complaint as highlighted in affidavit bearing UID No.20230197125 dated 06.01.2025, and stop misuse of security cover given to private respondents and re-examine the validity for the security cover given to the private respondents.

It has been, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner filed a petition under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., which is pending adjudication. He submits that respondents No.4 to 6, who have been granted security by the State, are threatening the petitioner to withdraw the petition filed under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. He submits that though the petitioner has filed various representation as is reflecting in para No.4 of the petition, but he would file fresh representation for the redressal of the his grievance.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

After hearing learned counsel for the the parties, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a consolidated representation/complaint for the redressal of his grievance before respondent No.3 i.e. the Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana within a period of ten days from today. If any such representation/complaint is filed, the same be dealt with expeditiously in accordance with law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

08.04.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No