

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:097202



**CRM-M-19558-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.07.2025**

**JASWINDER SINGH MARAHAR @ BEDI
VERSUS**

... PETITIONER

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate with
Mr. D.S.Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 25 dated 02.05.2023, under Sections 302, 307, 452, 326, 325, 324, 323, 148, 149, 427, 506, 120-B of IPC (Sections 325 and 326 of IPC added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur, Punjab.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It has been alleged that the petitioner along with 13 other co-accused have entered into the house of the complainant, damaged the house and gave beatings to Amandeep Singh, Nirmal Singh and Gobind Singh causing injuries. Initially, the role attributed to the present petitioner was that he was armed with an iron rod. However, no specific injury was attributed to him. After examining the CCTV footage wherein the present petitioner was not seen entering or exiting the house of the complainant, the statement of the complainant has been modified to the extent that the present petitioner was waiting outside and the co-accused have caused injury to the complainant and damaged the household articles, as per the version of FIR. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any

other case and prays that he be released on bail.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 02 months and 19 days. Out of 37, only 01 witness has partly been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submission of learned counsels, since the trial is yet to be commenced and the same is likely to take a long time, the fact that the petitioner is in custody for 02 years, 02 months and 19 days; continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

31.07.2025

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No