



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

141

CWP-9469-2025

Date of decision: 03.04.2025

GANESHAN

....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Derabassi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking regularization as per Policy of 1996 or in the alternative Policy of 2003.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that he does not lay his claim for regularization as per Policy of 1996, however, he may be considered as per Policy of 2003.
3. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana submits that respondents have considered case of the petitioner as per Policy of 1996. As he has waived off his claim *qua* Policy of 1996, the Competent Authority would reconsider his claim as per Policy of 2003.
4. Disposed of accordingly.
5. Let the needful be done within 6 months from today.



6. It is made clear that petitioner shall not be entitled to differential amount of salary for the period from 2003 to 2014, if he is regularized as per Policy of 2003, however, he would be entitled to notional benefits directly effecting his pensionary benefits.

03.04.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No