



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.224

RSA-1779-2001 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 29.10.2025  
...Appellant

BANT SINGH

Versus

BANTI AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- None for the appellant.

Respondent No.8 proceeded against *ex parte*  
vide order dated 19.09.2002.

\*\*\*\*\*

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, notice was directed to be issued to the parties. The notice has been received back with a report stating that the sole appellant has expired.

It has further been reported that respondents No. 1 and 2 are not residing at the given address; respondents No. 3 and 5 have also expired; and respondents No. 4, 6, and 7 have refused to accept service of notice.

In view of the report of the Registry regarding service of the parties, it appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal. Accordingly, the same stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(MANDEEP PANNU)  
JUDGE

29.10.2025  
Anu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No