

2025:PHHC:054722



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-3294-2025

Decided on:29.04.2025

Ashok**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj**

Present: Mr. Ved. Parkash, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioner, who is apprehending danger at the behest of respondent nos.7 & 8 and also being called repeatedly in the police station by respondent nos.5 & 6 in order to make pressure for compromising the FIR case lodged at the instance of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that *de hors* the averments made in the present petition, the petitioner would be satisfied if the official respondents are directed to decide his representation dated 15.05.2024 (Annexure P-3). He submits that inadvertently, Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani has been impleaded as respondent no.4 instead of Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani in the present petition and, thus, prays that Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani be substituted as respondent no.4 in place of Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani.

2025:PHHC:054722 

3. On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani is substituted as respondent no.4 in place of Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani.
4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
5. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
6. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.4-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani to decide representation dated 15.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) and redress all grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law.
7. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioner from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by him.

April 29, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES