



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

330

CWP-1729-2002 (O & M)
Date of decision: 05.04.2025

Emandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Rishu Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpeet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for directing the respondents to consider and appoint as a JBT Teacher, pursuant to the advertisement issued in April, 2000, might not even be surviving due to the efflux of time.

2. Learned State counsel refers to para 9, 10 and 12 of written statement by way of affidavit of Chairman, Department of Selection Committee(P), dated 15.11.2002, wherein it has been stated that in terms of Rule 6 of the Punjab State Education Class-III (Primary School Cadre) Service Rules, 1997, dated 11.06.1998, Annexure R-2, the females and males were to be selected in the ratio of 50:50 and the merit of the female candidates cannot be compared with that of male. In the category of the petitioner, even after adding marks of the interview that were more than 70%, her merit was below Roll No.154818, who secured 58.56% marks.

She is comparing her marks which she obtained, even without adding those awarded for the interview to be 44.69%, with the last selected candidate in the male category, who had secured 44.36%. The above facts have not even been controverted by filing any replication.

3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still subsists.

05.04.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No