



CWP-10973-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(230)

CWP-10973-2022

Date of Decision : 08.01.2025

Bharti

...Petitioner

Versus

Insurance Ombudsman, Office of Insurance Ombudsman
and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anosh Samson, Advocate for
Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application (Annexure P-9) of the present petitioner, is pending consideration before the respondent No.1, since 28.03.2022, and no decision has been taken so far on the application.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he would be satisfied in case a mandamus is passed upon the respondent No.1, to decide the said application (Annexure P-9), in a time bound manner.

3. The prayer, so made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.



CWP-10973-2022

2

4. Considering the bona fide and innocuous prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is **disposed of**, with a mandamus upon the respondent No.1, to decide the application (supra), which is pending consideration, preferably within six months from today.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 08, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No