



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

156

CRWP-3215-2025

Date of decision: March 28<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Sonu

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Aditya Partap Singh Luthra, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing the respondents to release the detenues, mentioned in para No.2 of the petition, from the illegal detention of private respondents.

2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. This Court in ***LPA No.32 of 2013*** titled as ***"Murti Vs. The State of Punjab and others"***, has held as under:-

*"It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant in the writ petition are that the alleged detenues mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at the brick kiln of respondent Nos.4 & 5 are being kept as bonded labours. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a*

*fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and set aside/modify the order dated 9.1.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition.”*

5. In view of the above, this Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-District Magistrate, Kurukshetra, to treat this petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition.

**March 28<sup>th</sup>, 2025**

*Puneet*

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No