


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

139+296

2025.PHHC:017635



CR-2372-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.02.2025

POOJA BAKSHI ..Petitioner
Versus
SANDEEP SHONIK ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Shreesh Kakkar, Advocate
for Ms. Hema Kakkar, (legal aid counsel)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Shonik, (respondent in person).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. By impugned order, the Family Court has permitted respondent (father of a minor son aged about 13 years) to meet his child on first and third Saturday for one hour. The correctness of such order is challenged by the mother (petitioner herein).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the child is not prepared to meet his father.
3. This Court has considered the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. This aspect can examined by the Family Court.
5. Hence, the revision petition is disposed of with liberty to file appropriate application before the Family Court.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 06th, 2025 (ANIL KSHETARPAL)
Ay JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No