



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-17743-2025
Date of decision: 15.07.2025

SANDEEP KUMARPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Navdeep Singh Khokhar, Advocate for
Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Billing, Advocate with
Ms. Apurva Walia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
SANDEEP KUMAR	184	19.12.2017	406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC	Balachaur	Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar

2. On 01.04.2025, following order was passed:-

“This second petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in criminal case having FIR No.184 dated 19.12.2017 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Balachaur, District SBS Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that no doubt the first application filed by the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail was dismissed on merits



- 2-

by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 22.01.2019 (Annexure P-5). That however, now the matter has been compromised between the parties vide compromise (Annexure P-7) and that petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation with the police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that earlier application filed by the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail was dismissed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 22.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) and he further submits that the petitioner is required by the police for the purpose of proper investigation of the case. The State counsel prays for time to seek instructions with regard to compromise (Annexure P-7). Mr. Kuljinder S. Billing, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed vakalatnama which is taken on record. Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that now the matter has been compromised between the parties and he is having no objection if the present petition is allowed.

In view of the fact that now the matter has been compromised, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is directed to be released on interim bail by the IO/Arresting Officer to his own satisfaction till the next date of hearing. The petitioner is to abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

Now be listed on 15.07.2025.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 01.04.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from official respondent, confirms the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 01.04.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.



However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

15.07.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No