



120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9156-2025

Date of Decision : 21-04-2025

MAHENDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner was selected for the post of TGT English (Mewat Cadre) vide Result dated 12.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) but the petitioner is not being allotted the station and he has not been allowed to join, which is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that even otherwise, the said controversy has already been decided by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while passing order on the said issue in Civil Writ Petition No. 7626 of 2015 titled "*Gurmail Kaur and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and anr.*", decided on 27.05.2015, which judgment has already been upheld by the Division Bench in LPA No.171 of 2021 titled "*State of Haryana and ors. Vs. Gurmail Kaur and ors.*" hence, the petitioner is also entitled for the grant of benefit in terms of *Gurmail Kaur (supra)*.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 22.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 22.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

21-04-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO