

283

CRM-M-17889-2025

Date of Decision : **November 20, 2025**

SANDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present : Mr. P.S.Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.193 dated 10.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 125, 351, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 109, 324(4) & 61(2) of BNS added lateron) at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 03.04.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 13.02.2025 (P-2).
3. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.
4. Pursuant to the order dated 03.04.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur and as per the report dated 21.04.2025 submitted to

this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

5. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”. Further, the learned State counsel apprised this Court that this is a no injury case, hence, conviction under Section 109 BNS is unlikely.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.193 dated 10.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 125, 351, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 109, 324(4) & 61(2) of BNS added lateron) at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

7. Petition stands disposed of.

November 20, 2025

ajaysharma

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No