



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-6562-63-CII-2022 in/and
FAO-2257-2022**

Date of Decision: January 14, 2025

Mukesh Dahiya

.....Appellant

Versus

Meena and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present: Mr. Deepak Juglan, Advocate for
Mr. Rohan Garg, Advocate for the appellant.

LISA GILL, J.

1. This appeal has been filed challenging order dated 16.10.2019 passed by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Principal Judge, Family Court, Sonipat whereby maintenance to the tune of Rs.18,000/- per month was awarded to respondents (petitioners in petition under Sections 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 of Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956). Present appeal has been filed with delay of 798 days.

2. Notice was issued to respondents on 14.03.2023 subject to deposit of Rs.1,80,000/- and furnishing of proof thereof, with the Registry of this Court.

3. As per office report, notice could not be issued to respondent as proof of said deposit was not received.

4. Learned counsel for appellant submits that he does not have any intimation regarding deposit of the amount in question.
5. Learned counsel for appellant, at this stage, seeks some more time to find out but we do not find any ground for adjourning the matter any further for this purpose.
6. This appeal is, accordingly, dismissed in view of non-compliance of order dated 14.03.2023.
7. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

**(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE**

January 14, 2025

Rts

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No