



CRM-M-19519-2024(O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M-19519-2024(O&M)
Decided on :15.12.2025

Amritpal Singh alias Ambi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**CRM-50618-2025**

i) Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, for placing on record Zimni Orders passed by learned trial Court/learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar from 16.01.2024 to 29.09.2025 as Annexure P-4 and P-5 respectively.

ii) For the reasons enumerated in the application, same is allowed and the zimni order are taken on record as Annexure P-4 to P-5.

CRM-M-19519-2024

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 108 dated 08.09.2023, under Sections 379-B(2) and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Khilchian, District Amritsar Rural.

2. FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant, Gurpreet Kaur, alleging that on 08.09.2023, at about 4:00 p.m., she, along with her relative Chetna, was going to meet thier relative on a scooty



bearing No. PB-09X-3893. While on the way, two persons, whose heads were covered with *parnas*, approached them from behind on a motorcycle. The person sitting on the rear seat of the motorcycle struck the scooty with his leg, as a result of which they fell to the ground.

It is further alleged that the said person attempted to snatch their mobile phone and, upon resistance being offered, caused injuries to the complainant on both her arms and legs with a *kirch*. Thereafter, the unknown motorcyclist snatched a Samsung SA-8 touchscreen mobile phone containing SIM No. 8360037808 and fled from the spot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been in custody in the present case for the past two years and three months, whereas the proceedings before the trial court are still ongoing and are likely to take considerable time to conclude.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is 20 years old and, being of the prime age of his life and involved for the first time in such an incident, deserves an opportunity to rehabilitate himself by reintegrating into normal life in society. Accordingly, he prays for the grant of regular bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that while the allegations are serious, he does not dispute the fact that petitioner is in custody for the period of last two years and three months. He also confirms that, except for the present case, petitioner is not found involved in any other criminal matter, as per the custody certificate.



6. During hearings on 18.07.2025 and 12.08.2025, proceedings were deferred to enable the prosecution to record the statements of material witnesses. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record copies of *zimni* orders and draws attention to the order dated 11.08.2025 recorded by learned Sessions Judge, Amritsar (Trial Court), wherein it was noticed that PW Gurpreet Kaur(wrongly mentioned as Gurpreet Singh), Chetna, ASI Surjit Singh, and ASI Jasbir Singh were present and fully examined as PW2 to PW5.

7. I have considered the allegations, heard learned counsel for the parties, and perused the custody certificate.

8. Undoubtedly, petitioner is a young man of 20 years and deserves an opportunity to rehabilitate himself and rejoin the normal course of life in society. He is not alleged to be involved in any other case of a similar nature. The material witnesses, namely Chetna and Gurpreet Kaur have already been fully examined in court. In view of these facts, this Court finds merit in the prayer of the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed.

Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an



CRM-M-19519-2024(O&M)

independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

10. It is further made clear that if, in future, the petitioner is found to be directly involved in similar activities, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

15.12.2025
Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No