IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:094759

(209) **CRM-M-18001-2025**
Decided on : 29.07.2025

Vinod ……Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana ……Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

*****

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.83 dated 15.03.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 118(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Baroda, District Sonipat; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 02.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

> *"Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in criminal case having FIR No.83 dated 15.03.2025 registered under Sections 109(1), 118(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Baroda, District Sonipat.*
>
> *Counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case which was registered against unknown persons, who assaulted Bijender. It is further submitted that even otherwise from the perusal of the FIR, it is apparent that there are no specific allegations against two/three miscreants, who caused injuries to Bijender. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner, who is having*

NAVEEN NAGPAL
2025.07.29 17:20
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment
HIgh Court Chandigarh

> *no criminal history, is ready and willing to join investigation with the police.*
>
> *Notice of motion.*
>
> *Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that no doubt the petitioner was not named in the FIR but is required by the police for the purpose of proper investigation. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is having no criminal history and nominated as an accused only on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by co-accused.*
>
> *The veracity and admissibility of any such disclosure statement suffered by co-accused against the present petitioner is a subject matter of trial.*
>
> *In light of the above, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is directed to be released on interim bail by the IO/Arresting Officer to his own satisfaction till the next date of hearing. The petitioner is to abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.*
>
> *Now be listed on* ***29.07.2025.****"*

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Krishan) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and not required for custodial interrogation.

4. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 02.04.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

NAVEEN NAGPAL
2025.07.29 17:20
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment
HIgh Court Chandigarh

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 29, 2025
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

NAVEEN NAGPAL
2025.07.29 17:20
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment
HIgh Court Chandigarh