



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(201) CRR No.699 of 2008 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

Member Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh-1, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, A.A.G. Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The present revision petition assails the judgment dated 01.04.2008, passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide which the appeal against judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 16.04.2007, passed by the Court of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo, was dismissed and judgment of conviction and order of sentence imposed upon petitioners was upheld.

2. Vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence, the Court of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo, convicted the present petitioners for having committed offences punishable under Section 325/323 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and sentenced them as under:-

Member Singh:

Offence Under Section	Sentence
325 IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay fine of Rs.1000/- or in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month
323 IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of three months and to pay fine of Rs.500/- or in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for 15 days

Gulzar Singh:

Offence Under Section	Sentence
325/34 IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay fine of Rs.1000/- or in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month
323/34 IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of three months and to pay fine of Rs.500/- or in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for 15 days

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per instructions received by him, both petitioners have unfortunately expired.

4. Learned State counsel submits that she also has received similar instructions that both petitioners have expired. She has produced communications dated 28.07.2025 in this regard, which are taken on record. As per the same, the petitioners have expired. A perusal of the judgment of conviction and order of sentence passed by the Court of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo, shows that fine already stands paid.

That being so, the revision petition abates and is disposed of accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

July 29, 2025
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No