



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CWP-9868-2020

Date of Decision: 20.11.2025

Rajesh Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Prabhsher Singh Walia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Senior Standing Counsel and
Mr. Abhishek Premi, Junior Standing Counsel
for respondents No.2 and 3 – U.T.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. The only grievance being raised in the present petition is that even if retrospective regularization cannot be given, keeping in view the fact that the petitioners were in service as on 01.01.2004, they should be treated under the Old Pension Scheme (OPS), said relief is sought after their services have already been regularized keeping in view the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP-2371-2010** titled ***Harbans Lal vs. The State of Punjab and others***, decided on 31.08.2010, which judgment has already been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the said benefit has already been granted to the petitioners hence, the writ petition, keeping in view the claim raised, has already been rendered infructuous.

**CWP-9868-2020**

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have already been granted the benefit under the Old Pension Scheme, as already noted even by the Tribunal, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 20, 2025*Varinder*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No