



CRM-M-17735-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-17735-2025

Date of decision : 29.04.2025

Karanjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in FIR No.112 dated 25.11.2021, under Sections 302, 148 & 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Mamdot, District Ferozepur.

2. The case of the prosecution is based on eye-witness account wherein the complainant Kailash Rani wife of the deceased has stated that the petitioner was present at the spot and had given *lalkara* that he had killed her husband.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner along with other co-accused had brutally murdered the husband of the complainant while the petitioner was neither present at the place of occurrence nor any injury had been attributed to him. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.



CRM-M-17735-2025

-2-

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to know the mode of commission of offence. He also submits that the motive has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is intentionally evading arrest as proceedings for declaring him a proclaimed offender are pending before the trial Court. He also submits that the petitioner along with co-accused have earlier filed a petition for grant of anticipatory bail bearing CRM-M-13303-2025 which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 11.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material placed on record.

5. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts that motive is attributed to the petitioner; he is intentionally evading arrest while proceedings for declaring him proclaimed offender are pending before the trial Court and further keeping in view the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner as the custodial interrogation is essential for proper investigation in this case.

6. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2025
poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No