



**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.3190 of 2025

Date of decision: 01.04.2025

Surjit Singh and Another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Angrej Singh Sarwara, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. The respondent Nos.4 and 5 have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur seeking his help, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents Nos.1 to 3 only.



4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material placed on record.
6. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the aforesaid representation *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

1st April, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No