



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107)

CWP No. 9092 of 2025
Date of Decision : 01.04.2025

Smt. Sushila Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Veena Sharma and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Imran A. Ali, Advocate for
Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, all the facts required to be brought before the Court to press the prayer could not be mentioned in the present petition, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars.
2. Ordered accordingly.

April 01, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No