



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

247

CWP-9132-2025

Date of Decision:06.11.2025

DEEPAK KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

INSURANCE OMBUDSMAN AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sameer S. Tiwari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajneesh Malhotra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed *inter alia* for modification of award dated 14.12.2023, Annexure P-1, passed by the Insurance Ombudsman as also for setting aside letter dated 04.07.2024, Annexure P-34, whereby petitioner has been intimated that there is no provision for review of the award.

2. Mr. Rajneesh Malhotra, counsel for insurance company-respondent No.2, submits that during the pendency of instant writ petition, in terms of award passed by the Ombudsman, claim has been processed and petitioner has been found entitled to an amount of Rs.9,30,600/-, which has been disbursed. It is his stand that writ petition has become infructuous.



CWP-9132-2025

-2-

3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is entitled to a higher amount as IDV of the vehicle was Rs.10,34,000/- and as there has been a delay in the settlement of the claim, petitioner is entitled to interest.

4. Be that as it may, since the award, which has been challenged in this writ petition, has been complied with and the claim of the petitioner has been settled, writ petition is disposed of.

5. Liberty is, however, granted to petitioner to avail any other remedy available to him in accordance with law for claiming additional amount as well as interest.

06.11.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No