



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP-3203-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.04.2025

ANITA AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Rampal Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6 and take action on their representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that parents of petitioner no.1 solemnized the marriage of petitioner no.1 forcefully with their own choice of boy against her wishes as she wanted to marry her with her own choice of boy after getting success in her career. The man to whom the parents of the petitioner no.1 solemnized the marriage of petitioner no.1 used to beat her on petty issues and used to throw her out of the home under influence of liquor. He even used to lock her behind the doors in room and also used to keep her without food. She has even told all these things to her parents and family members but all of them advised her



to remain silent. Thereafter, petitioner no.1 asked petitioner no.2 to help her and came to his house on 20.03.2025 who being a good friend given her shelter. He supported her. Petitioner no.2 is unmarried and have no living spouse and both are living in live-in-relationship. However, when private respondents came to know about their relationship and they threatened them. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 25.03.2025 to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners' counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to him.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel without going into the question of validity of their relationship and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Hisar, District Hisar Haryana to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.



6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

01.04.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |