



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9076-2025

Date of Decision: 01.04.2025

Gurjeet Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Kalra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of order dated 08.05.2024 (Annexure P-12) whereby they have been denied benefit of 6th Pay Commission.

2. Mr. R.S. Kalra submits that petitioners were appointed in pursuance to advertisement wherein pay scale of 5th Pay Commission was prescribed. The petitioners came to be appointed in 2021 and they have been granted pay scale as per 7th Pay Commission. The petitioners are entitled to pay scale as per 6th Pay Commission as extended to similarly situated employees.

3. On being confronted with order dated 24.11.2023 passed by Director General of Police and judgment dated 13.09.2024 passed by this Court in a bunch of petitions including *CWP-15896-2023, Saurabh Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and another*, Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab expressed his inability to controvert applicability of abovesaid order/judgment to instant petition.



4. In the wake of judgment dated 13.09.2024 passed by this Court which stands upheld by Division Bench of this Court as well as Supreme Court, instant petition deserves to be allowed and accordingly allowed. Impugned order is hereby set aside and respondent is directed to reconsider claim of petition as per judgment of this Court in **Saurabh Sharma (supra)**. Let the needful be done within 6 months from today.

01.04.2025	(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
shivani	JUDGE
Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No