



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

154

CWP-8819-2025

Date of decision: 27.03.2025

MAHIMA SAINI AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

DIRECTOR SCHOOL EDUCATION, CHANDIGARH

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Addl. Standing Counsel and
Mr. Rohit Kaushik, Panel Counsel
for the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that the petitioner has alternative remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) which is located at Chandigarh. Thus, petitioners may be relegated to CAT at Chandigarh.

2. Faced with this, Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate expressed his inability to controvert the fact that petitioners have alternative remedy to approach CAT, Chandigarh.

3 In the wake of statement of both sides, instant petition stands dismissed with liberty to approach CAT, Chandigarh.

27.03.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No