

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-17091-2025
Decided on:21.05.2025

LAKHWINDER SINGH @ LAKHA SINGH
Versus

.....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
Lakwinder Singh @ Lakha Singh	81	29.06.2023	323, 452, 427, 380, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act (Section 307 IPC added later on)	Jhabal, District Tarn Taran

2. On 27.03.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

<i>Name & age of Petitioner (s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>
<i>Lakwinder Singh @ Lakha</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>29.06.2023</i>	<i>323, 452, 427, 380, 506, 148,</i>	<i>Jhabal, District Tarn Taran</i>



Singh			149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act (Section 307 IPC added later on)	
-------	--	--	---	--

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per allegations there were several shots fired by the gun, but no one has suffered any injury. Counsel submits that petitioner is 70 years of age and no specific role has been attributed to him. Even, the incident took place on 27.06.2023 and the FIR was registered on 29.06.2023 i.e. after a delay of two days, thus, name of the petitioner in the FIR, showing his involvement is highly doubtful. Counsel further submits that petitioner is ready to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Agency. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions and to file status report.
5. Adjourned to 21.05.2025.
6. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).
7. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.
- It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in compliance of the order dated 27.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has duly joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. It is further submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not warranted. In view of the petitioner’s cooperation and the nature of evidence involved, it is prayed that the interim protection

**CRM-M-17091-2025****3**

granted earlier be confirmed and the petition for anticipatory bail be allowed.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel on instruction, confirms the factum of joining of investigation by the petitioner and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. However, it is submitted that the petitioner be directed to rejoin the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer. It is further clarified that in the event of non-cooperation or failure to join the investigation when called upon, the petitioner shall not be entitled to claim the benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, and upon perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR as well as the issues highlighted during the course of hearing, and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation, and that custodial interrogation is not required as of now, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is accepted. The interim order dated 27.03.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petition is, thus, allowed.

6. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer and shall abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS, 2023).

**CRM-M-17091-2025****4**

It is further clarified that in the event the petitioner fails to join or rejoin the investigation despite service of due notice by the Investigating Officer, it shall be open to the prosecution to seek cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he do not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 21, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**