



**321 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17129-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.05.2025**

GURVIR SINGH

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Rana Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying for quashing of FIR No.8, dated 12.11.2024, under Sections 66-C, 66-D, 67, 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 28.02.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 28.03.2025:

“Present petition has been filed praying for quashing of FIR No.8, dated 12.11.2024, under Sections 66-C, 66-D, 67, 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 28.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) to prevent an abuse of process of the Court. Further prayer has been made for staying the further proceedings before the learned trial Court during the pendency of the present petition.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has contended that the parties have compromised



the matter and as such the present FIR is liable to be quashed.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

At this stage, Mr. Ravi Rana, Advocate has filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 today in the Court and the same is taken in record. He has endorsed the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner and has not denied the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Adjourned to 05.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, both the parties are directed to appear before the concerned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 11.04.2025 for recording their statements, who shall record their respective statements with regard to the genuineness/correctness of the compromise and that the compromise is not the result of any fraud or misrepresentation and is the result of free will of the parties. It would also be verified that besides the accused (petitioner) mentioned in the petition, there is no other accused in the FIR and the parties are not involved or declared proclaimed offender in any other criminal case. The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall send his/her report through learned Sessions Judge concerned on or before the date fixed before this Court.

The State to file status report before the next date of hearing.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs.**

Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.8, dated 12.11.2024, under Sections 66-C, 66-D, 67, 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

05.05.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>