



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

286

CRM-M-20704-2024

Date of decision: 03.02.2025

Jitender Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Case has been called, however, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. It would be relevant to reproduce all the previous order which are as under:-

“Order dated 26.04.2024*Present: Mr. Mukesh Pandit, Advocate for the petitioners.*

*Issue notice of motion, returnable for 09.07.2024.**Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and prays for time to seek instructions.***Order dated 30.09.2024***Present: Mr. Mukesh Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioners.**Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate
General, Punjab.**Request for adjournment has been made by
learned counsel for the petitioners.**In the interest of justice, adjourned to*



CRM-M-20704-2024

04.12.2024.

Order dated 04.12.2024*Present: None for the petitioners.**Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.*

*Case has been called twice, however, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners.**Purely, in the interest of justice, adjourned to 03.02.2025.”*

2. A perusal of all the previous orders reveal that after the notice of motion had been issued, the case had been repeatedly adjourned either on the request of learned counsel for the petitioners or non-appearance of the learned counsel. It seems that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the instant petition.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

03.02.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No