



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CWP-8798-2024

Date of decision: 11.03.2025

KELASHO DEVI

....PETITIONER

Vs.

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Kunal Soni, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to regularize him as per Policy of 2011 or 2014.
2. Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents and filed Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.
3. Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate submits that grievance of the petitioner would be redressed, if respondents are directed to decide her claim for regularization as per judgment dated **22.01.2025** passed by this Court in **CWP No. 10071 of 2022** titled as '*Sanjeev Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others*'.
4. Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that Competent Authority would consider claim of the petitioner in accordance with regularization Policy in force as well as afore-cited judgment.
5. Disposed of accordingly.
6. Let the needful be done within 6 months from today.

11.03.2025

manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No