



154

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3063-2025

Date of decision : 27.03.2025

Roopvati and another**.....Petitioners**

versus

State of Haryana and others**.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Mohd. Zeeshan Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.2 & 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 8 not to harass or interfere in the married life of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 & 3 to provide protection of life and liberty of the petitioners so that no harm is caused to them at the instance of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 8. Their marriage certificate and marriage photographs evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexures P-4 & P-5. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-6) before respondent No.2 i.e. Th Commissioner of Police, Gurugram.

**CRWP-3063-2025****-2-**

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-The Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, to decide the representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

27.03.2025*ps-I***(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No