



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CWP-5274-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.01.2025

Surinder Beniwal ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. V.V. Chauhan, Advocate, and
Mr. Binayjeet Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order of termination dated 23.03.1999, Annexure P-4.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, contends that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioner was reinstated in service with effect from 04.09.2001, and the period of service with effect from the date of termination, i.e., from 23.03.1999 to 03.09.2001 has been counted as duty period vide order dated 21.01.2004. She also contends that the petitioner was later regularised with effect from 01.10.2003, and is working as Science Master in State cadre as of now.

3. Disposed of as such.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

13.01.2025
Payal